

### Office of the Information Commissioner

Queensland

#### **Draft Model Protocols**

for

#### **Queensland Government Departments**

on

## Reporting to Ministers and Senior Executive on Right to Information and Information Privacy Applications

#### 1. Purpose

1.1. The purpose of these protocols is to provide a performance standard for maintaining the independence of Right to Information and Information Privacy decision-making during briefings of Ministers, ministerial staff and senior executive.

#### 2. Application

- 2.1. These protocols constitute a performance standard under section 131 of the *Right to Information Act 2009* (**RTI Act**) that:
  - 2.1.1. applies to departments of government declared under section 14 of the *Public Service Act 2008*;
  - 2.1.2. applies to Ministers and ministerial staff members; and
  - 2.1.3. supplements the *Protocols for communication between ministerial staff members and public service employees.*
- Effective date: These draft protocols are open for public consultation until 14 December 2012.

#### 4. Context and principles

4.1. The Queensland Government operates in accordance with the Westminster system of responsible government.

- 4.2. Governments are responsible collectively to the community through the electoral process and are supported by an independent public service. Ministers are responsible individually to Parliament for the administration of their portfolios. Directors-General are responsible for the delivery of their departments' services and are accountable ultimately to the Premier, although they report to their responsible Minister on a day-to-day basis.
- 4.3. Departments are responsible for giving independent and apolitical advice to assist the government and the Minister with decision-making.
- 4.4. The RTI and IP Act are transparency and accountability measures. Directors-General are responsible for decision-making on access applications made to their Department.
- 4.5. In practice, Directors-General usually delegate RTI and IP decision-making powers to departmental officers. Even when powers are delegated, Directors-General will need to be kept informed of significant decisions.
- 4.6. Ministers are entitled to be briefed on access applications made to the Department under the RTI or IP Acts insofar as they are relevant to the Minister's responsibilities. The obligations in the IP Act concerning storage, use and disclosure of such information apply to the Minister.

- 4.7. The Premier has requested that all Ministers delegate any RTI and IP decision-making powers, including internal review decisions, to RTI and IP officers within their departments.<sup>1</sup>
- 4.8. Under the RTI and IP Acts, it is an offence to direct a person to make a decision the person believes is not the decision that should be made.<sup>2</sup> It is also an offence to direct an employee or officer of the agency or Minister to act in way contrary to the legislative requirements.<sup>3</sup>
- 4.9. The RTI Act expressly sets out how an access application is to be processed and the grounds on which decisions to give or refuse access must be based.<sup>4</sup> The RTI Act explicitly states that decision-makers are required not to take account of factors such as possible embarrassment to the Government or loss of confidence in the government.<sup>5</sup>

#### 5. Protocols

- 5.1. Ministers and directors-general may establish reporting processes for being informed about RTI and IP access applications.
- 5.2. If reporting processes are required, the scope and purpose should be confirmed in a written policy.
- 5.3. Where processes require particular types of applications to be reported on, the criteria for identifying applications should be clearly defined.
- 5.4. Generally, reporting would be limited to applications where giving access to information will require the Minister or Department to prepare for public debate.

- 5.5. The written policy and any related correspondence should make it clear that the reports are for information only and note the offences relating to giving direction in the RTI and IP Acts.
- 5.6. Reports should be made to the Director-General. The Director-General should determine further recipients of the report on a need-to-know basis.
- 5.7. The content of the report should be limited to procedural matters such as statutory timeframes, arrangements for inspection of the information within the scope of the application, and a summary of the factors favouring disclosure or non-disclosure of the information in the public interest.
- 5.8. If further background briefing is required on the operational issues subject to the access application, the Minister or Director-General should request separate briefing on these matters from the responsible operational area through the usual internal and Ministerial briefing systems.
- 5.9. In circumstances where the Director-General disagrees with a proposed decision the Director-General should make the decision.
- 5.10. In the interests of open discussions of public affairs, the Director-General should consider exercising the discretion to release information even where the information could lawfully be withheld.
- 5.11. Proper records of RTI and IP reports and any related correspondence or discussion must be made and kept in accordance with section 7 of the *Public Records Act 2002.*
- 5.12. If a Department has a policy on RTI and IP reporting processes, the policy must be made available under section 20 of the RTI Act. In the interests of transparency, the policy should be published on the Department's website.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As advised to the Information Commissioner in a letter dated 30 May 2012. Under section 31 of the RTI Act and section 51 of the IP Act the Minister may direct a person deal with access or amendment applications made to the Minister.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  See sections 30 and 175(1) of the RTI Act and sections 50 and 184(1) of the IP Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See section 175(3) of the RTI Act and 184(3) of the IP Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See sections 44 and 47 of the RTI Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Schedule 4, Part 1 of the RTI Act: Factors irrelevant to deciding the public interest.

- 5.13. RTI and IP reports should be managed separately from information retrieval processes and liaison between RTI and IP units and operational custodians of information. Requests for information from operational areas should include:
  - 5.13.1. Guidance on the pro-disclosure bias, relevant and irrelevant considerations and exemptions:
  - 5.13.2. An invitation to provide additional contextual information to ensure accurate interpretation;
  - 5.13.3. A prompt to consider providing access to the information administratively; and
  - 5.13.4. A clear statement about the offences relating to directions in the RTI and IP Acts.
- 5.14. Processes for RTI and IP reports must be managed in a manner which does not impact on statutory timeframes.

# 6. Support to public service employees and ministerial staff members

- 6.1. Directors-General should provide ongoing support to staff (including reinforcing among senior executives their responsibility to provide support to their staff) to create a culture of openness and respect for the independence of RTI and IP decisionmakers.
- 6.2. Public service employees should raise any concerns with their Senior Officer or Director-General. The Director-General should, if necessary, raise significant concerns with the Minister.
- 6.3. Ministerial staff members should discuss any perceived breach of these protocols with their Principal Adviser. The Principal Adviser should refer significant concerns where necessary to the Director-General.
- 6.4. The Office of the Information Commissioner offers an enquiry service on the operation and application of Queensland's Right to Information and Information Privacy legislation:
  - Telephone: (07) 3234 7373
  - Fax: (07) 3405 1122
  - Email: enquiries@oic.qld.gov.au

### 7. Review of protocols

These protocols will be reviewed every two years.